

Current situation

- **Wealth of** expected upcoming **data** at high energy frontiers (HL-LHC, FCC, ILC)
- **Targets:** Increase understanding, detect new particles, improve sensitivity and precision etc.
- Many models beyond the Standard Model (**BSM**), no evidence yet, direct testing needs many resources

*"The trick will be to learn **how to navigate** the vessel in the uncharted, **higher-energy waters**, where no-one knows if – or where – new physics might be.*

*And this trick has a name: "**model independence**" [Massimi 2019]*

Examples: Simplified models, effective field theories, data-driven machine learning etc.

Meaning and Model Independence

- How to establish **meaning** when it comes to new hypothetical entities?
- How can new insights **meaningfully connect** to existing knowledge?

Idea: Revive **operationalism** as a philosophy of extension – translating existing concepts into new regimes, operationally define a relation between new concepts and existing concepts

Practical approach: Use **operational entities** (operationally described processes, particles and states) to extend meaning to model-independent searches for new physics

Operationalism – Original conception [Bridgman 1927]

- We do not know the **meaning of a concept** unless we have a **method of measurement** for it
- Concept is (synonymous with) corresponding set of operations
- Operational analysis of many physical concepts shows: not homogenous; **Different regimes → different measurement methods.**
- Example: **length** (ruler, light waves) → Do different operations measure the same or do we have more than one concept?

Criticism: 1) Naïve and unsystematic, **2.)** Neglects a simple, systematically unified explanation of empirical phenomena [Hempel 1966], **3.)** operational definition does not exhaust meaning, **4)** operational definitions are not required for all concepts. **5) What are operations?** (According to Bridgman not only laboratory measurements but mental and "pen-and-pencil" operations etc)

Operationalism 2.0 [Chang 2009][Chang 2017]

- Meaning and Meaningfulness
"Meaning as use" [Wittgenstein 1953]
"To know the meaning of a term used by me it is evident [...] that I must know the conditions under which I would use the term" [Bridgman 1938, p.116]
 Example: Diameter of electron – concept of length incorporates E.M theory
- Interpretation of Bridgman's (later) work
 Instead of complaints about meaninglessness, **meaning can be extended to new regimes**
- Conceptual structure has **joints** at which operational meaning change
- Can only theories bridge discontinuous parts? → **Operations can provide a continuity of meaning against which metrological validity can be judged** (Example: Wedgwood scale)
- Start: **1)** Concept with secure net of uses (stable meaning in a restricted domain), **2)** Try and establish another secure net of uses (in adjacent domain), **3)** Establish a credible link between the two domains

Operational entities in model-independent searches

- **Define hypothetical new entities**, which can be processes, states or particles **operationally** (e.g instrumental, mental or paper-pencil type) → this can start a skeleton in the new domain, which can be linked to existing concepts
- Example: **1) Formulate measurement operations** in the detector (tracking chamber, calorimeter) to form a skeleton of a signature – **operationalize existing particle signatures** (black-boxed [Mättig & Stöltzner] and guarantee an **extension of meaning by overlapping** operation
2) Connect EFT by operations and extend meaning by it?